Nonoperative management for major blunt hepatic trauma
A case report
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Nonoperative management for major blunt hepatic trauma. A case report

INTRODUCTION: Over the past 20 years the management of blunt liver trauma has evolved from a primary operative approach to a nonoperative one, for both low and high grade injuries, only on the basis of hemodynamic stability. However, in spite of a high success rate of non operative management, it is frequently observed, also in our country, an old fashioned way to approach these patients, based on habit more than observation and evidence based medicine.

CASE REPORT: We present a case of successful nonoperative treatment of a grade IV blunt liver trauma (lacero-contusive injury of V, VI and VII segments) in a 34 year old woman.

DISCUSSION: Nowadays more than 85% of liver injuries are managed without operative intervention, irrespective of the injury grade. Success rate of the conservative approach ranges from 82% to 100% and almost all complications (14% in high grade injuries) can be managed with interventional radiology procedures, still avoiding major surgery.

CONCLUSION: Today, in the absence of other abdominal injuries requiring surgical exploration, hemodynamic instability from ongoing hemorrhage after primary evaluation and resuscitative treatment, is the only indication to an operative management of traumatic liver injuries.
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injury, the patient was admitted to our Emergency Department. She was conscious, but her physical examination revealed pallor, marked dyspnea, tachypnea (Respiratory Rate 24/min), tachycardia (Heart Rate 104 bpm), intense right upper quadrant pain and blood pressure (BP) of 90/60 mm/Hg. She received intensive fluid administration (2000 cc crystalloid intravenous fluid) with prompt recovery of blood pressure (130/70 mmHg) and heart rate (84 bpm). Chest examination showed bruises and crepitation on right hemithorax for multiple rib fractures and there was abdominal tenderness without signs of peritoneal irritation. Laboratory and arterial blood gas (ABG) analyses showed normal values, but haemoglobin 11.2 g/dl (normal range 12.0-15.5 g/dl),
total leucocyte count of 17.7 × 10^3/μL (normal range 4-10 × 10^3/μL) and platelet count of 495 × 10^3 μL (normal range 150-450 × 10^3 μL). A focused assessment sonography for trauma (F.A.S.T.) revealed the presence of a significant perihepatic and pelvic free fluid volume.

A thoraco-abdominal CT scan showed fracture of the lateral arch of VI, VII, VIII, IX ribs of the right hemithorax, with a mild contusion of the lower right lung lobe; a wide lacero-contusive area of V, VI and VII liver segments was present without evidence of active bleeding, but with a massive hemoperitoneum (Figg. 1 and 2). In spite of a second hemoglobin value of 8.5 g/dL, the hemodynamic stability with a blood pressure of 130/80 mmHg, pushed trauma leader to decide for a conservative non-operative treatment. The patient was transferred to the sub-intensive care unit and 2 blood red cell units were infused. Forty-eight hours after injury, the patient complained shortness of breath with a pO2 decrease (39 mmHg) and a pCO2 increase (37 mmHg). A new CT scan showed bilateral pulmonary contusion and pleural effusion, but a reduction in size of the laceroc- contusive hepatic area (Fig. 3). A thoracentesis of the right hemithorax was performed (800cc of serosanguinous exudates) and continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy was started. After 4 days, the normal respiratory function was restored and a new CT scan demonstrated a definitive improvement of pulmonary and liver conditions (Fig. 4). At a 48-month follow-up the patient is going well without any trouble.

Discussion

The liver is the most commonly injured organ in trauma 2. In 95% of cases the cause of blunt trauma is a car accident. The mechanism of injury is most often indirect, like a deceleration, causing damage on capsule and parenchyma at the level of peritoneal reflections, usually between the anterior and posterior Couinaud segments of the right lobe. In other cases the injury mechanism is a direct one, a crushing trauma of the abdominal right upper quadrant, causing, as in our case, the compression of the ribs into the liver and a stellate-type injury.

Before the 1990s almost all blunt hepatic trauma were treated operatively. It was because liver hemorrhage was considered unable to stop without surgical intervention, bile was considered to be drained not to cause biliary fistulas or septic complications and because a positive diagnostic peritoneal lavage (DPL) could be considered due to other unexplored causes 1-4. The wide diffusion of high-resolution CT scan and interventional radiology procedures together with several important clinical observations, transformed the diagnosis and management of blunt abdominal trauma 1,6-14. Besides the successful experiences with nonoperative management in pediatric patients 4,7,15, it has been shown that 60% to 80% of the hepatic hemorrhage after blunt trauma had spontaneously stopped before laparotomy and that the absence of biliary drainage did not change morbidity 16-19. Furthermore, despite concerns that nonoperative treatment could increase the need of transfusions and the length of hospital stay, most clinical studies reported exactly the opposite 3,9.

According to the data of the National Trauma Data Bank, Tinkoff et al. 20 demonstrated that more than 85% blunt liver injuries are now managed nonoperatively, regardless of the injury severity. Overall success rates of the conservative approach ranges from 82% to 100% 10,11,20-22, with decreasing success rates on the basis of the injury grade: 91.5% in grade I and II injuries, 79% in grade III, 72.8% in grade IV, and 62.6% in grade V injuries (5,22). In these series, it has been demonstrated that mortality rates have sensibly decreased passing from an operative treatment in all patients with hepatic injuries to a conservative management when possible 1. In the 80s Cogbill et al. reported an overall mortality rate of 46% and a liver related mortality rate of 30% when all high grade liver traumas were operatively treated 23. Asensio et al., using angioembolization combined to surgery, had an overall mortality of 9% for grades IV-V 24,25 and Pachtner et al. reported a hepatic related mortality rate of 0.4% 9 considering all grades of liver injuries. More recently, Kozar et al. referring to high grade (III-V) injuries reported an overall mortality rate of 4.3% and only one liver related death among patients with grade V injuries 21.

With the diffusion of NOM also for high grade liver injuries, it had been supposed that complication rates would have hugely increased, but conversely many studies showed that there has been a decrease of them 5. Hepatic-related complication rates in most series are between 0% and 7%, also including low grade injuries 26. Complications seems to be more closely related to the grade of liver injury and to the need for transfusion, with a reported rate of 14% among high grade injuries 8. Kozar et al. reported an overall complication rate of 11% in high grade injuries nonoperatively treated: less than 1% for grade III, 21% for grade IV and 63% for grade V 10,21. The most frequent postinjury complication is bleeding 9,27,28, especially within the first 72 hours after trauma (27); less common are hepatic and perihepatic abscesses, biloma, bile leak, biliary fistula and bile peritonitis 4,29. Most of complications are usually managed with interventional radiology techniques like angioembolization, percutaneous or endoscopic drainage, biliary stenting, but sometimes surgery is required 4,26.

Criteria for nonoperative management of liver injuries are hemodynamic stability, the absence of other abdominal injuries requiring surgical exploration, a hospital organization that allows immediate availability of analy-
sis laboratory, good quality CT imaging, interventional radiology and operating room, and 24-hour alert and experienced surgeons. To monitor these patients we need serial hematocrit evaluations, coagulation value optimization and bed rest in sub-intensive or intensive care facilities. A second CT scan is required only when unexpected abdominal pain, hemoglobin loss, jaundice, systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) occur and not to check the injury evolution.

Conclusions

Nonoperative management of blunt hepatic trauma should be the first choice when hemodynamic parameters are stable because of the many advantages in terms of morbidity, mortality and costs. It does not mean “no therapy”, but it is instead synonymous of intense clinical observation of the patient, specific medical therapy, blood transfusion, high quality diagnostic evaluation, interventional radiology procedures, highly qualified nursing staff and, often, intensive care unit observation.

Riassunto

Negli ultimi 20 anni il trattamento del trauma epatico chiuso si è modificato radicalmente passando da una gestione quasi costantemente chirurgica ad una non operativa in tutti i casi in cui non vi siano le condizioni di instabilità emodinamica o di variazione nella clinica del paziente traumatizzato. A tutt’oggi però non è raro osservare un approccio a tale condizione clinica seguendo criteri che la Medicina Basata sull’Evidenza dimostra essere superati. Presentiamo in questo lavoro il caso clinico di una donna di 34 anni che subiva un trauma diretto della regione postero-laterale dell’emitorace destro cadendo accidentalmente da una scala. Nonostante la gravità della lesione evidenziata dalla TC (IV grado secondo AAST Liver Injury Scale), si è optato per un trattamento non operativo data la condizione di stabilità emodinamica ottenuta con un primo bolo di cristalloidi. Una complicanza di tipo respiratorio ha complicato il quadro in un paziente traumato in terza giornata dal trauma richiedendo una toracentesi e dei cicli di ventilazione non invasiva. A 4 anni di distanza dal trauma la paziente sta bene e non lamenta disturbi.

La letteratura mostra che il trattamento conservativo viene impiegato oggi in oltre l’85% dei traumi epatici, indipendentemente dall’entità della lesione. Il successo del trattamento conservativo varia nelle diverse casistiche dall’82% al 100% dei casi e, le sue complicanze, quando si verificano (14% dei traumi maggiori) possono essere trattate con procedure di radiologia interventistica, evitando ancora l’intervento chirurgico. Oggi, in assenza di altre lesioni addомinali che richiedano l’esplorazione chirurgica, l’indicazione al trattamento operativo è solo l’instabilità emodinamica del paziente che persiste o si ripresenta subito dopo un corretto trattamento rianimatorio iniziale.
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