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Kidney transplantation and large anastomotic pseudoaneurysm. Transplant management considerations

AIM: Pseudoaneurysm (PA) at the anastomosis site in kidney transplantation is a rare but serious complication that usually requires graft nephrectomy. Literature reports are sporadic and usually focused on limb rather than graft salvage. In this paper we focus on this last point.

MATERIAL OF STUDY: 6 patients presenting large PA at the anastomosis between iliac and graft artery were identified in our series. The diagnosis was performed with US, AngioTC scan and angiography. Blood cultures and labeled leukocyte scintigraphy were also performed. All patients underwent open surgery.

RESULTS: Transplant nephrectomy was needed in all cases except one, in which it was possible to perform a graft replanting on hypogastric artery. Our perioperative mortality and morbidity rate was recorded.

DISCUSSION: Etiology of PA is multifactorial, however an association with chronic rejection or infection must be also considered. Our mortality and morbidity rates are in accordance to literature. In our experience we observed only large PA so to require an open surgery but this approach has allowed the rescue of graft functioning just in a single case. Endovascular procedures are linked to higher rate of graft salvage than surgery but they can be used just in selected cases.

CONCLUSIONS: Our experience and literature review led us to believe that the rate of graft salvage in patients with large PA at anastomosis site could be improved only by a planned therapeutic program that includes surgical and endovascular approach, taking the advantages of both technique and overcoming their limits.
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Introduction

Kidney transplant is the best treatment for end-stage chronic renal failure1. The average life of transplanted kidney is 10-15 years, ranging between few months to 20-30 years1-4. The main cause of loss of transplanted kidneys is the rejection, while only a small number of grafts are lost due to surgical complications6-8. Arterial complications represent about 5% of graft dysfunction causes9,10. The renal artery stenosis are the most common, while pseudoaneurysms (PA) are very rare (approximately 1% of arterial post-transplant complications)11-15. The pseudo-aneurysm of renal graft is a life and graft impaired post-transplant complication that usually requires transplant nephrectomy.
Material of study

Over the past 30 years we observe 6 patients with PA of anastomotic site between graft and iliac artery (Fig. 1). Two patients were transplanted in our center, while 4 originated from other Transplant Centers. In all cases the diagnosis was made on US, followed by an AngioCT scan. Three patients also underwent angiography procedure before the operation. Labeled leukocyte scintigraphy was performed just in 2 patients. All patients underwent blood cultures. Two patients had fever on admission, including 1 with positive blood culture for Candida Albicans. Patient infected with Candida was treated with antifungal therapy based on intravenous amphotericin B for 3 weeks. The other patient with fever presented always negative blood cultures and negative urine culture and was treated with empirical antibiotic therapy. In a patient with negative blood cultures, the culture of the pseudo-aneurysm wall after surgery showed a mixed infection (Candida / Staphylococcus). Just a patient developed a pseudo-aneurysm early, within 3 months after transplantation. One patient (Case 5), before surgery, was subject to an unsuccessful treatment of percutaneous embolization of pseudoaneurysm. All clinical data of our patients (age, size, interval from transplantation, symptoms, culture sampling, treatment, complication and outcome) are summarized in Table I. One patient died in post operative period, while no one showed signs of impaired vascular supply to inferior limb. Three patients developed major complications.

Results

Our patients were all treated with open surgery because they were symptomatic and/or had large aneurysms (4.6-12.0 cm). In our experience transplant kidney patients with anastomotic pseudoaneurysm showed a high morbidity rate with a high percentage of losing transplanted organ. In 2 patients although a conservative procedure had been planned, during surgery we were forced to perform transplant nephrectomy for technical difficulties and for saving patient’s life. In other 3 patients transplant nephrectomy was preoperatively planned for impaired/loss of graft function. So 5/6 of our patients were operated on graft nephrectomy. Just in case n.2 was possible to perform a graft replanting on hypogastric artery: this patient presented an acceptable kidney function over the following 4 years. In all patients, the vascular supply of lower limb was secured by implanting a prosthesis and we did not observe complications directly related to this procedure (Table I). Our perioperative mortality rate was acceptable. Just one patient died on 10th post operative day of MOF.

Table I - Clinical data of patients with anastomotic pseudoaneurism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Interval from transplantation</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1 (M)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7 mo</td>
<td>Pulsatile mass</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Excision and interposition graft (polyester)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2 (F)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>49 mo</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Excision and interposition graft (polyester)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3 (F)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>78 days</td>
<td>Fever, local pain, tender mass</td>
<td>Candida A</td>
<td>Excision, ePTFE crossover femoral-femoral graft</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>Alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4 (M)</td>
<td>67 (rupture)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5 mo</td>
<td>Sudden abdominal pain, hypotension</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Stent grafting</td>
<td>Wound infection and dehiscence</td>
<td>Alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5 (M)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7 mo</td>
<td>Anemia, local pain, tender mass</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Excision and interposition graft (silver polyester)</td>
<td>Iliac fossa abscess and wound infection</td>
<td>Exitus (MOF) 10 days after treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 6 (M)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10 mo</td>
<td>Fever, pulsatile mass</td>
<td>Candida A Staph E</td>
<td>Excision and interposition graft (silver polyester)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Alive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Vascular complications represent an important cause of morbidity and mortality after renal transplantation. The factors predisposing to vascular complications are: vascular suturing failure, kinking of the renal artery, instrumental damage during perfusion, dissection of vasa vasorum, surgeon’s experience and immunological mechanisms. \(^5,16,17\)

PA are a rare vascular complications and can be localized inside the graft or at anastomotic site. Intrarenal PA in many cases are due to arterial injury during percutaneous biopsy, more rarely during perfusion procedures. \(^18,19\) The intrarenal PA are frequently asymptomatic and self-limiting, although some patients present a massive hematuria due to spontaneous opening of the aneurysm in the urinary tract. The best treatment of intrarenal PA is the embolization of the damaged vessel with angioscopic techniques. This procedure is always associated to good results and high rate of graft salvage.

Extrarenal PA may affect the anastomotic site between iliac and renal arteries and their etiology is attributable to vascular suturing technical errors or mycotic/bacterial infection. Surgical technique defects or vessel wall ischemia are the main causes in most cases when PA appears early, usually few weeks after transplantation. \(^20\) A late presentation (after 6 years) is a rare condition and usually related to infection, although Buckley and colleagues reported an interval of 7 years. \(^21\) Only one of our patients developed a PA early (3 months) while the others had a mean time of onset of 15.6 months (range 5-49).

The symptomatology of the PA is vary: pain at the implant site, fever and leukocytosis, chronic deterioration of renal function. \(^22,23\) Also our patients had heterogeneous symptoms, ranging from stable clinical presentation to emergency, as showed in Table I. US, CT and angioCT are considered as the golden standard imaging procedure to diagnose PA by many authors. Angiography should be indicated only if it is used also as a therapeutic procedure. Labeled leukocyte scintigraphy has not yet showed its value in anastomotic PA diagnostic protocol. In our experience, scintigraphy was performed just in 2 cases but with little reliability: a false negative result despite of infection positive culture of PA wall (case n. 6).

Siu \(^25\) claims that small PA can be treated with conservative therapy with periodic ultrasound monitoring, as in Zavos’ experience concerning two patients treated with intravenous amphotericin for two months and a stent placement before retransplanting with good results in 2 years follow-up. Broken, large or infected PA should be treated surgically. \(^27,28\) Open conservative technique contemplates direct suture or graft removal/replanting with patch or other vessels (hypogastric artery, contralateral iliac axis). These techniques are highly invasive and threaten graft survival, furthermore there is a high probability of recurrence or dissemination when PA is infected. So transplant nephrectomy is the most common technique performed in these cases for the high risks linked to the conservative techniques (including rebleeding).
The analysis of these data from literature and from our series leads us to formulate some considerations:

1. The anastomotic PA should be identified early, and this condition can be fulfilled only with periodic ultrasound monitoring in post-transplant follow up.

2. Blood cultures are essential, especially a blood sample directly from the PA, when possible.

3. The embolization is good technique and has a good success rate when applied to intrarenal PA.

4. Conservative procedures should be considered in patients in good condition with preserved graft function and without symptoms or signs of infection. Their adoption must take in account the following points: a) graft removal/replanting procedure is linked to high failure rate for the great technical difficulties; b) placement of endoprostheses covering the iliac artery-anastomosis to seal leaks is a good technical option but it requires a graft removal/replanting or its revascularization by hypogastric artery or patch. However these procedures are associated with high failure and complications rate; c) placement of endoprostheses covering the iliac-anastomosis-graft artery to seal leaks have to be preferred but requires revascularization of femoral artery by extra-anatomical bypass (possibly a femoral-femoral bypass), procedures with a low risk rate than the previous; d) para-surgical procedure, when indicated, are preferable to open surgery for their good results and low complications.

5. When performing conservative procedures close follow up is always needed for long time.

6. Direct open surgical approach to repair the PA can lead, with high probability, to a final graft removal and a reconstruction of vascular continuity with a prosthesis.

7. The early PA are frequently due to errors in the execution of vascular suture and have a higher cure rate.

Conclusions

Surgical treatment of kidney transplanted patients with large PA usually need graft nephrectomy. We believe that previously exposed topics should be taken in considering for the management of these patients to increase graft salvage rate. This goal could be achieved only by planned therapeutic programs including surgical and endovascular approach, taking the advantages of both technique and overcoming their specific limits.

Riassunto

PREMESSE: Gli pseudo aneurismi anastomotici (PA) di grosse dimensioni rappresentano una rara ma temibile complicanza del trapianto di rene che generalmente richiede l’espianto del graft. In letteratura sono presenti solo sporadici reports, generalmente focalizzati sulle problematiche connesse con il salvataggio dell’arto piuttosto che con quello del graft. In questo lavoro ci soffermeremo su quest’ultimo punto.

MATERIALI E METODI: In oltre 30 anni abbiamo osservato 6 pazienti con PA tra l’arteria iliaca e l’arteria del graft. La diagnosi è stata effettuata con ecografia, angioTC, angiografia, emocolture e, in alcuni casi, scintigrafia con leucociti marcati. Tutti i pazienti sono stati sottoposti a riparazione a cielo aperto.

RISULTATI: L’espianto del rene è stato necessario in tutti i casi tranne uno, in cui è stato possibile eseguire una reimpianto dell’organo sull’arteria ipogastrica. Il tasso di morbilità e di mortalità perioperatoria è stato accettabile.

DISCUSSIONE: L’eziologia del PA è multifattoriale, tuttavia, una associazione con rigetto cronico o infezione deve sempre essere presa in considerazione. Il tasso di morbilità e di mortalità che abbiamo registrato è in linea con quello della letteratura. Nella nostra esperienza abbiamo osservato solo PA di grosse dimensioni per cui l’approccio “open” è stato il nostro metodo di scelta per il trattamento di questa patologia, sebbene ci abbia permesso di salvare il graft in un solo caso. Le procedure endovascolari, sebbene si associno ad un tasso più alto di salvataggio del graft, possono essere utilizzate solo in casi selezionati.

CONCLUSIONI: La nostra esperienza e la revisione della letteratura ci porta a ritenere che solo un programma terapeutico ben pianiificato e che fonda l’approccio open con quello endovascolare può aumentare il tasso di salvataggio dei graft nei pazienti sottoposti a trapianto di rene ed affetti da PA anastomotici di grosse dimensioni.
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